

The works of brett nortje part 125.


### New maths theories.

This thread is for coming up with ways of simplifying maths. let's recap? basically, each time there is an equation, it has a practical application that defies all the unknown parameters or values, and, things can be crossed out on each side of equals sign with the advanced equations.

Now, if we want to really impact maths, we could simply start finding real numbers for equations with 'squiggles and symbols.'

So, if we want to get rid of al the squiggles and symbols, we could write the values there and do maths as if it were simply bodmas, yes? let's see what we can drag out of the maths coffins?

I am taking the next example from complex algebra;

 Originally Posted by [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Field\\_of\\_sets](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Field_of_sets)

In *mathematics* a **field of sets** is a pair  $\langle X, \mathcal{F} \rangle$  where  $X$  is a set and  $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebra over  $X$  i.e., a non-empty subset of the power set of  $X$  closed under the intersection and union of pairs of sets and under complements of individual sets. In other words  $\mathcal{F}$  forms a subalgebra of the power set Boolean algebra of  $X$ . (Many authors refer to  $\mathcal{F}$  itself as a field of sets. The word "field" in "field of sets" is not used with the meaning of field from field theory.) Elements of  $X$  are called **points** and those of  $\mathcal{F}$  are called **complexes** and are said to be the **admissible sets** of  $X$ . Fields of sets play an essential role in the representation theory of Boolean algebras. Every Boolean algebra can be represented as a field of sets.

$$C(X) = \langle \mathcal{F}, \cap, \cup, I, \emptyset, X, (f_i)_I \rangle$$

Now, with this one, we could find a ratio for the sum if we were to take that  $[cx] =$  a lot of stuff along with  $x$ , than all the other stuff needs to equal  $c$ , the sum of it, yes?

Then, we could say that all that other stuff equals as many as the sum of it's parts, divided by the sum of it's parts, with a ratio for  $[c]$  while  $x$ 's part of the equation stays out of the final sum. this means, we take how many symbols are on the right, and get a total number for their amount, in this case, 9. then, we take  $x$  away, leaving 8. then, we say that the ratio we are looking for is  $9 / 8 = 1.112$ , yes?

After you have that number, you can find the ratio of 1:112, yes? that is the ratio of

the equation's answer.

To go further, you need to take the ratio you have, and get a new number out of that, let's call it the 'holding number?' this 'holding number' is  $c:x$ , but just hold it for now.

Then, you take the holding number times by the amount of all the 'known numbers' on the other side, the sum that is, multiplying and dividing and stick the 1.112 into it where it fits.

This will give you your answer, i think?

I think then there are one of two more things to do; either multiplying the 'holding number' by the number of symbols, or we already have the answer, times itself.

### **Getting the gangsters.**

Gangs run riot in the world. they are like a mob of people constantly protesting, yes? they are a blemish or stain on the fabric of society and must be stopped or sent to jail, or, reformed.

I think subliminal messages in the media could brain wash them to see that gangs are bad news, yes? these messages could be to the point, with pictures of gang leaders on the front page each day and the charges with them - in 'ghetto papers,' of course.

Or, they could try to get them to move to the same area far from everyone else? this would be like apartheid, in a way, but upon being convicted of gang activity, they could all be moved to an area where there are only other gangsters. this will keep the wolves and sheep separated, of course.

Or, they could demand that every house without an income is moved to similar suburbs. they could get a ton of wooden houses - if they cannot afford homes nor have identity documents, they cannot work, so must be moved somewhere where crime is acceptable, of course - not that it is acceptable, just "steal from that guy with the gun!"

As another measure, they could also try to give out curfews to people without identity documents. this would mean, the gangs would be the ones without these, and need to frequently go to the police station to get them replaced. in certain areas, this will keep them off the streets when they might say they are looking for work, of course - that is a pivotal stumbling block to any curfew, the excuses of looking for work.

Or, they could observe that often gangs live with people with houses that are into drugs. if we were to remove the people that are the 'seeds' from the 'leaches,' then the only thing bringing them back is being able to get drugs from them.

Or, maybe we should focus on getting them to this 'new area?' it would be sort of like a shanty town, and, health services can get them out of the houses where they are proving to be a health risk to the kids, or, people on drugs. the story goes, that, the kids are around drugs, so blood tests are involved, and, the risks to the people with the jobs, they need to have blood tests for their own sake. if the whole lot of them do drugs, it should be a law to break them up so they cannot motivate their peers to do drugs.

Or, they could observe whether the person has done it regularly or since their warning, then prosecuted for knowing where to get drugs, evidently, and harbouring a criminal. off to the wooden houses!

### **Rape, theft and murder.**

I want to get rid of these three problems, in separate entries, of course. for this entry, we will begin with the problem of rape.

For a start, wearing white clothing will make you look 'loud' so rapists will avoid you.

If you were to wear a chastity belt, or, a 'new innovation' replacing the chastity belt, it could come to the point where you are protected physically from penetration. if they kiss your mouth, that isn't so bad, neither is the less favoured fondling of breasts, but this will protect you from the worst things.

If you were to have a free wifi headset, you could wear it out around parking lots and stay connected to your peers or security, or, anyone on that wave length in the building or whatever. this would make screaming and shouting about where you are easy, and, with a locator, you could see any suspicious areas where the woman is walking, and, ask her why? if you do not get an answer, off to the rescue!

### **Theft.**

To stop theft, we need to either make it unable for people to steal, or stop them from needing to or wanting to steal.

If we were to take an example - a car - this is less easy to steal than a apple. so, let's try to stop people from stealing apples from the market, that will cover the car i hope too!

If you want to stop people from stealing apples, we need to have 'lie detectors' at each tenth block in the area we want to stop the theft. this will register all illegal activities in that area, as well as give coordinates for the place. then, with a satellite image, we could snap the occurrence of theft or illegal activity. this could easily be used as a mug shot, and, satellites are not that expensive.

Instead of having the satellites out in space, we could set them up in the cities, like eight or so in a circle back to back, yes?

### **Rapid response times.**

The only problem with the new technology, which should be quite cheap, is the response times. this could be done at their leisure, as, they could, with this well developed close range image, get the finger prints of the person printed onto their screens, yes?

Then, if they do not have finger prints registered, they could, with chemical sensors, get the colour of the clothes, basically.

And, they could also try to watch the person as where they are going.

It is clear that there will be some paranoia when they feel fear from theft, killing or being killed,

fear rape or do something else that is illegal.

### **Drunk driving and alcoholism.**

Maybe what we need to do is have water coolers at each bar, with a breathalyser available? this will let drunken mongrels drink their way to 'dilutedness' flushing the alcohol from their bodies and testing themselves until it is safe?

... and having them hand their keys in until they get a clean bill of health. this will stop drunken driving, and, all other forms of alcoholism is up to the people drinking.

To stop alcoholism completely, the alcohol could be set by chemicals to a new limit that is may not exceed in your body. say, when it reaches a certain level, the body will just stay the same, sweating the excess alcohol out? this could be done by sticking sleeping tablets into the booze, effectively insuring the lives of others ont he road, and, preventing excess alcohol abuse.

Or they could put stuff into alcohol that fills the person, like yeast, lots of it. then, the person will not be able to get as drunk as before, and, i am sure most of the alcohol users out there wouldn't mind being full if it means that it will save someone's life!